



Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning

Md. Mokshud Ali¹ & Mohammad Jobir Monjur²

*¹Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration,
Bangladesh University, Dhaka, Bangladesh*

²Research Scholar, Tripura University, Agartala-799022, Tripura

Abstract

This chapter shows the evolving influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) on the digital economy, emphasizing their economic ramifications, adoption trends, and socio-economic effects. The digital economy has become a fundamental component of global economic operations, representing a substantial portion of world GDP. The swift advancement of AI and ML technologies has expedited this shift, augmented productivity, and stimulated innovation across several sectors. The implementation of these technologies is inconsistent, exhibiting significant discrepancies between the Global North and South. This chapter is to present the impact of AI and ML on economic performance, analyze the obstacles to their dissemination, and address the governance and ethical issues related to their application. The chapter used a mixed-method approach, integrating qualitative insights with quantitative data to show the adoption trends, economic implications, and societal challenges. The results indicate that although AI and ML possess the capacity to create trillions of dollars in value and enhance global GDP, their advantages are not uniformly allocated. Identified key hurdles are the governance-implementation gap, ethical

hazards including bias and privacy concerns, and environmental issues associated with energy usage in AI-driven data centers. The chapter enhances scholarly discourse regarding AI's economic implications and provides pragmatic guidance for politicians and industry professionals on promoting responsible AI use. The chapter highlights the necessity for comprehensive laws and regulatory frameworks to address the digital gap and guarantee fair access to AI technologies, in light of the swift advancement of AI. Future exploration must concentrate on formulating measures to mitigate AI's environmental impact, improve its inclusivity, and investigate its enduring implications on labor markets and global disparities.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Digital Economy, Ethical Oversight, Generative AI, Global Adoption, Machine Learning, Policy Implications.

Introduction

The digital economy has undeniably emerged as a hallmark of contemporary marketplaces. Recent evaluations highlight that widespread digital connectivity is an essential catalyst for global growth, profoundly altering business structures and industries (Bai et al., 2024). In practice, digital goods and services support production, consumption, and trade on an unparalleled scale. A thorough assessment indicates that the ICT-driven digital economy has become a “significant contributor to economies worldwide,” highlighting its pivotal role in economic activity (Oloyede et al., 2023). The IDCA Global Digital Economy Report indicates that digital activities constitute approximately 15 percent of global GDP (IDCA, 2024). Between 2020 and 2024, the digital economy in the United States expanded to around 4.9 trillion U.S. dollars, accounting for over 18 percent of the U.S. GDP and sustaining around 28 million jobs (IAB, 2024). Academics caution that this expansion has exacerbated a “digital divide,” with affluent economies securing an inequitable percentage of digital market value, particularly in sectors such as e-commerce (Murthy et al., 2021). The global digital economy expanded almost 9 percent annually, surpassing the broader economy’s 7 percent growth (IAB, 2024). Asia’s digital economy, propelled by the swift adoption of mobile internet and e-commerce, grew by over 5 percent annually (IDCA, 2024). These statistics underscore the critical role of digital infrastructure and platforms in economic performance.

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) have become important catalysts of digital transformation. Artificial Intelligence (AI) denotes systems capable of executing tasks that necessitate human-like cognitive abilities, whereas Machine Learning (ML) employs data to train models that discern patterns autonomously, without explicit programming (Wikipedia authors, 2024). Recent evaluations recognize AI as a crucial element of digital strategies, with firms throughout various sectors utilizing AI to innovate and secure competitive advantage (Kavak & Rusu, 2025). In the last ten years, AI and ML have transitioned from research settings to mainstream production situations. Industry estimates indicate that by 2024, almost 75% of surveyed firms globally will be utilizing AI in some form, highlighting its swift integration into contemporary business practices (Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI, 2025). Forbes projects that the worldwide AI market would attain around 1.34 trillion U.S. dollars by 2030, with business adoption increasing at an annual pace of nearly 36 percent (Forbes, 2023). Businesses are utilizing AI in various applications, including intelligent recommendation systems, predictive maintenance, chatbots, and autonomous vehicles. This widespread use is evidenced by rigorous assessments indicating that AI has rapidly emerged as a primary technical focus for enterprises of all sizes, fundamentally transforming business processes (Ayinaddis, 2025). Approximately 72 percent of companies utilize AI in at least one capacity (Forbes, 2023), with adoption escalating to 84 percent inside the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) and roughly 88 percent worldwide, as per McKinsey's 2025 survey (BlueGrid, 2024; McKinsey & Company, 2025). These adoption figures demonstrate the rapid integration of AI across several industries. The potential of AI and ML resides in enhanced productivity and growth. Meta-analyses indicate that AI-driven automation and optimization might markedly enhance productivity; for instance, economic models forecast that AI could considerably elevate GDP growth, particularly if paired with extensive skill development (Cerutti et al., 2025). McKinsey & Company estimates that AI could produce an annual value ranging from 3.5 to 5.8 trillion U.S. dollars and elevate productivity growth to approximately 2 percent per year (McKinsey & Company, 2018). AI can automate routine tasks, optimize logistics, detect anomalies, personalize content and enhance decision making. Formal modeling suggests that AI will likely produce far

greater benefits in advanced economies: an IMF analysis reveals that anticipated GDP increases from AI are more than double in high-income countries compared to low-income nations (Cerutti et al., 2025; Rockall et al., 2025). AI is anticipated to enhance worldwide GDP by approximately 21 percent by 2030 (Forbes, 2023). However, the proliferation of AI is inconsistent. Adoption rates are highest in technology-intensive economies, such as the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Singapore, and Norway, where over 40 percent of the working-age population utilizes generative AI tools (Microsoft AI Economy Institute, 2026). Conversely, hardly 14 percent of the working-age population in the Global South utilizes such technologies (Microsoft AI Economy Institute, 2026). Experts assert that addressing these disparities necessitates inclusive policies; without deliberate action, AI may exacerbate current inequalities by consolidating its advantages in already privileged areas (Maghsoudi et al., 2025). The North–South divide suggests that technological progress may exacerbate existing inequities if not meticulously regulated.

This introduction prepares for a comprehensive investigation of AI and ML inside the digital economy. The remainder of the chapter will (i) examine the history and fundamental principles of AI and ML, (ii) analyze contemporary research on adoption trends, advantages, and hazards, (iii) provide a case study of practical AI deployment, and (iv) explore policy and managerial implications. The chapter emphasizes the need to balance enthusiasm about AI with critical observations on ethical, regulatory, and societal issues.

Background / Literature Overview

Historical Development and Conceptual Foundations

The term digital economy refers to economic activity that depends on digital technologies, platforms, and data. The interconnection of networks and data-driven services is widely acknowledged as a primary driver of global economic growth (Bai et al., 2024). With the ubiquity of digital instruments, digital goods and services increasingly constitute significant elements of production, consumption, and commerce. Systematic assessments indicate that ICT-driven digitalization substantially enhances GDP in numerous nations (Oloyede et al., 2023). The IDCA Global Digital Economy Report indicates that digital

activities constitute approximately 15 percent of global GDP (IDCA, 2024). Empirical research indicates that developed economies obtain an unequal percentage of digital market profits, illustrating a persisting “digital divide” (Murthy et al., 2021). Between 2020 and 2024, the digital economy in the United States expanded to over 4.9 trillion U.S. dollars, accounting for over 18 percent of U.S. GDP and sustaining around 28 million jobs (IAB, 2024). Trade evaluations indicate that even slight increases in internet access can significantly enhance exports and incomes for underprivileged economies (Herman & Oliver, 2022). The global digital economy expanded almost 9 percent annually, surpassing the broader economy’s 7 percent growth (IAB, 2024). The digital economy of Asia, propelled by the swift uptake of mobile internet and e-commerce, grew by over 5 percent annually (IDCA, 2024). Nevertheless, assessments of digitalization and sustainability caution that the swift growth of the ICT sector incurs environmental costs—such as electronic waste and substantial energy consumption—that require management (Raihan, 2024). These statistics underscore the critical role of digital infrastructure and platforms in economic performance. Prominent analysts assert that the digital economy may realize its potential as a catalyst for innovation and inclusive growth solely through flexible policy frameworks (OECD, 2024).

Artificial intelligence and machine learning have become the foremost catalysts of this digital transition. Artificial Intelligence (AI) pertains to the domain of developing systems capable of executing tasks that necessitate human-like cognitive functions, including perception, reasoning, and decision-making. In contrast, Machine Learning (ML) employs data to train models that autonomously discern patterns or functions without explicit programming (Wikipedia contributors, 2024). Recent evaluations recognize AI as a crucial element of digital transformation initiatives, with organizations in several sectors utilizing AI to foster innovation and enhance competitiveness (Kavak & Rusu, 2025). In the last ten years, AI and ML have transitioned from research laboratories to mainstream production settings. Forbes projects that the worldwide AI market will attain around 1.34 trillion U.S. dollars by 2030, with business adoption increasing at an annual pace of approximately 36 percent (Forbes, 2023). Analysis of corporate survey data indicates that by 2024, about 80% of organizations globally were utilizing AI, demonstrating

its swift integration into company operations (Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI, 2025). Businesses are utilizing AI in various applications, including intelligent recommendation systems, predictive maintenance, chatbots, and autonomous vehicles. Approximately 72 percent of companies utilize AI in at least one capacity (Forbes, 2023), with adoption escalating to 84 percent inside the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) and approximately 88 percent globally, as reported by McKinsey's 2025 survey (BlueGrid, 2024; McKinsey & Company, 2025). Survey findings reveal that AI has emerged as a paramount technology goal for companies of all sizes, substantially transforming their operations and innovation strategies (Ayinaddis, 2025). These adoption figures demonstrate the rapid integration of AI across several industries.

The potential of AI and ML resides in enhancing productivity and fostering growth. Meta-analyses indicate that in economies with extensive AI use, annual productivity growth may increase by approximately 1 percentage point (OECD, 2025; Cerutti et al., 2025). McKinsey & Company estimates that AI could yield between 3.5 and 5.8 trillion U.S. dollars in yearly value and elevate productivity growth to approximately 2 percent per annum (McKinsey & Company, 2018). Artificial intelligence can automate repetitive operations, optimize logistics, identify anomalies, customize information, and improve decision-making. Recent modeling forecasts that AI-driven growth would result in substantial aggregate GDP increases, perhaps amounting to tens of trillions globally; nevertheless, the advantages will be inequitably allocated in the absence of governmental action (Cerutti et al., 2025; Rockall et al., 2025). AI is anticipated to enhance worldwide GDP by approximately 21 percent by 2030 (Forbes, 2023). The proliferation of AI is inconsistent. Adoption rates are highest in technology-intensive economies, such the United Arab Emirates (UAE), Singapore, and Norway, where over 40 percent of the working-age population utilizes generative AI tools (Microsoft AI Economy Institute, 2026). Conversely, hardly 14 percent of the working-age population in the Global South utilizes such technologies (Microsoft AI Economy Institute, 2026). Analysts caution that existing governance deficiencies may allow AI to intensify global disparities; for instance, uncoordinated digitalization typically advantages developed economies initially (Maghsoudi

et al., 2025). The North-South divide suggests that technological progress may exacerbate existing disparities if not meticulously regulated. Research on AI implementation emphasizes that comprehensive policy frameworks and investment in skills are crucial for the equitable distribution of AI advantages (Batool et al., 2025; Mokgata et al., 2026).

Case Study / Example

Netflix: Personalization at Scale

Netflix exemplifies how machine learning drives commercial success. The streaming service gathers extensive data on customers' viewing behaviors, search trends, ratings, and device utilization. Netflix employs supervised and unsupervised learning to generate personalized profiles and suggest films and series aligned with user tastes. In 2016, the business indicated that more than 75 percent of viewings were influenced by its recommendation engine, and by 2020, almost 80 percent of content consumed stemmed from personalized recommendations (Netflix, 2016–2020). This customization diminishes search friction, enhances engagement, and promotes binge-watching, all of which result in decreased churn and more revenue. The recommender system also impacts content acquisition and creation, as Netflix analyzes aggregated user data to discern genres and tales with a high probability of success. The efficacy of Netflix's AI strategy has motivated analogous solutions in the media and e-commerce industries.

GCC: High Adoption with Scaling Challenges

The Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) nations—Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and the United Arab Emirates—have initiated ambitious projects to establish themselves as leaders in artificial intelligence. In 2024, around 84 percent of surveyed GCC enterprises indicated the utilization of AI in at least one function, significantly exceeding the global average of around 72 percent; yet, only about 31 percent of GCC firms effectively expanded AI throughout their organization (BlueGrid, 2024). Governments have allocated resources to cloud infrastructure and artificial intelligence expertise, while legislative frameworks promote innovation. Notwithstanding this advancement, numerous companies exhibit insufficient internal alignment, encounter challenges in assimilating AI into legacy systems, and grapple with the recruitment of proficient professionals

(BlueGrid, 2024; McKinsey & Company, 2025). Companies that surmounted these obstacles frequently collaborated with technology providers, allocated resources for staff training, and implemented agile governance frameworks (BlueGrid, 2024). The GCC experience highlights that adoption is essential yet insufficient; scaling necessitates structural transformation, organizational learning, and ecosystem collaboration.

AI Diffusion and Global Inequality

Microsoft's paper on AI dissemination elucidates the correlation between national investment and individual adoption. Countries like the UAE, Singapore, and Norway exhibit working-age AI use rates over 40 percent, indicative of ongoing investment in digital infrastructure and skills (Microsoft AI Economy Institute, 2026). Conversely, adoption in the Global South is below 15 percent (Microsoft AI Economy Institute, 2026). In Africa, technological diffusion is facilitated by open-source platforms such as DeepSeek, which provides a complimentary chatbot, thereby lowering financial barriers; the report emphasizes the burgeoning AI rivalry between China and the United States to enhance adoption through proprietary versus open-source models (Microsoft AI Economy Institute, 2026). These geopolitical forces complicate global AI governance and highlight the necessity for international collaboration to prevent exacerbating divisions.

Ethical, Governance and Environmental Considerations

As AI deployment intensifies, an increasing array of evidence highlights the necessity of strong governance, ethical supervision, and environmental sustainability. Recent evaluations of multinational firms indicate a substantial gap between governance and implementation. Approximately 48 percent of organizations have released AI strategies or guidelines, with around 71 percent of these incorporating commitments to ethical, safe, or trustworthy principles; nevertheless, implementation frequently lacks efficacy (Thomson Reuters, 2026). Approximately 97 percent of companies do not explicitly evaluate environmental concerns when implementing AI, while around 68 percent neglect to address wider societal implications, including affects on vulnerable groups (Thomson Reuters, 2026). Although 76 percent of organizations indicate management-level supervision of AI projects, merely 41 percent provide accessible

policies to employees and mandate acknowledgment (Thomson Reuters, 2026). The discrepancies between policy and practice highlight the necessity for organizations to convert overarching values into practical procedures and clear responsibility.

Regional disparities in governance highlight regulatory constraints. In Europe, the Middle East, and Africa, organizations are more inclined to publish AI policies and disclose associated risks, whereas in the United States, only approximately 38 percent of firms have public AI policies (Thomson Reuters, 2026). Investor pressure is escalating: by 2025, over 72 percent of S&P 500 businesses will have reported at least one AI-related risk in their filings, an increase from approximately 12 percent in 2023 (Thomson Reuters, 2026). These disclosures indicate increasing expectations for ongoing AI audits, impact evaluations, and benchmarking (Thomson Reuters, 2026). Boards and CEOs are encouraged to progress from aspirational ethics pronouncements to demonstrable evidence of responsible AI implementation. National and international legislation, notably the EU AI Act and impending U.S. rules, will soon render such actions obligatory.

Governance initiatives encompass more than business policies. Over 72 countries worldwide have introduced more than 1,000 AI-related policy initiatives and regulatory frameworks to address public concerns over safety and accountability (Mind Foundry, 2026). Over 190 nations have embraced non-binding guidelines for the ethical utilization of AI, encompassing environmental provisions (UNEP, 2025). Notwithstanding this momentum, numerous national AI programs rarely incorporate environmental and sustainability factors (UNEP, 2025), underscoring a disparity between aspirational ethics and practical legislation. Gartner forecasts that expenditures on AI governance platforms would amount to around 492 million U.S. dollars in 2026, exceeding 1 billion U.S. dollars by 2030 (Backend News, 2026). Regulation is anticipated to increase fourfold and encompass around 75 percent of global economies by the decade's conclusion (Backend News, 2026). Organizations that use governance platforms are 3.4 times more likely to attain high performance in AI governance; nevertheless, merely increasing the number of tools cannot offset ambiguous strategies or insufficient executive backing (Backend News, 2026).

Ethical AI necessitates a focus on inclusivity. Demographic study indicates that women are around 20 percent less likely than men to utilize generative AI technologies; women constitute just about 42 percent of ChatGPT users, 31 percent of Claude users, and 27 percent of ChatGPT mobile app downloads (Haas News, 2025). These gender disparities may indicate variations in access, trust, and perceived utility. In the absence of targeted outreach and inclusive design, artificial intelligence may exacerbate existing inequities and hinder initiatives aimed at democratizing technology.

The environmental implications are a vital aspect of responsible AI. The International Energy Agency states that a single ChatGPT request can utilize up to 10 times the electricity of an average Google search (UNEP, 2025). Data centres, which facilitate the training and deployment of AI models, are seeing significant growth: the quantity of data centres increased from approximately 500,000 in 2012 to an estimated 8 million by 2025 (UNEP, 2025). Data centres in Ireland are anticipated to account for around 35 percent of national electricity consumption by 2026, an increase from 21 percent in 2023 (UNEP, 2025; Carbon Brief, 2025). In 2023, U.S. data centers consumed approximately 4 percent of the nation's electricity and may necessitate 7–12 percent by 2028 (Carbon Brief, 2025). Presently, AI constitutes 5–15 percent of global data center power consumption and may increase to 35–50 percent by 2030 (Carbon Brief, 2025). Fossil fuels currently provide approximately 60 percent of data center energy; renewables contribute 27 percent and nuclear energy 15 percent. However, this distribution is projected to change to around 60 percent clean energy by 2035 (Carbon Brief, 2025). These energy requirements provoke apprehensions over carbon emissions and grid stability.

Recent scientific research presents alarming forecasts: by 2030, ongoing AI expansion may generate 24–44 million metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions each year and deplete 731–1,125 million cubic meters of water—comparable to introducing 5–10 million vehicles to U.S. highways and exacerbating water shortages in already vulnerable areas (Cornell Chronicle, 2025). Optimal placement of data centers, grid decarbonization, and enhanced efficiency might diminish emissions by approximately 73 percent and water use by around 86 percent (Cornell Chronicle, 2025). Furthermore, estimations of AI energy consumption

differ: many specialists contend that each ChatGPT question utilizes only 0.3 Wh—similar to a Google search—contrary to previous assertions of 3 Wh (PC Gamer, 2025). These disparities underscore the necessity for transparent reporting and uniform measures.

Policymakers are implementing specific actions. The European Union and the United States have enacted legislation aimed at diminishing AI's environmental impact and fostering sustainable computing (UNEP, 2025). UNEP recommends five actionable measures: standardizing the assessment of AI's environmental impact, mandating the disclosure of energy and carbon emissions, enhancing algorithmic efficiency, constructing environmentally sustainable data centers (utilizing renewable energy and water recycling), and incorporating AI policies into comprehensive environmental regulations (UNEP, 2025). International collaboration is essential, as developed nations currently obtain almost one-fourth of the growth in electricity demand from data centers and artificial intelligence (Carbon Brief, 2025). Ultimately, successful governance must include ethical values, inclusivity, and sustainability, ensuring that AI developments correspond with overarching societal objectives.

Conclusion

This chapter presents the influence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) on the digital economy, emphasizing their effects, adoption trends, and socio-economic consequences. The chapter aims to evaluate the impact of AI and ML on economic performance, presents the obstacles to their dissemination, and underscore the regulatory and ethical issues associated with these technologies. This chapter affirms that although AI and ML have considerable productivity and growth opportunities, their adoption is inconsistent, exhibiting greater prevalence in the Global North than in the Global South. Moreover, AI is anticipated to create trillions of dollars in value and substantially enhance global GDP by 2030. Nonetheless, the digital divide endures, and regulatory obstacles—such as the necessity for inclusive governance and ethical considerations—must be confronted to guarantee the sustainable and fair advancement of the digital economy. The primary findings indicate that the implementation of AI and ML is progressing

rapidly, yet is predominantly confined to established economies, while emerging countries are falling behind. The chapter revealed that although AI presents considerable economic advantages, the governance and implementation gap constitutes a major obstacle, since numerous companies have challenges in scaling AI applications throughout their organizations. Moreover, ethical issues, especially those related to bias, privacy, and environmental sustainability, necessitate immediate consideration to guarantee that AI implementation conforms to societal standards.

This chapter enhance academic knowledge and inform policy development by illuminating discrepancies in AI adoption and the necessity of developing strong governance frameworks. This chapter offers a thorough analysis of the developing interplay among AI, the digital economy, and socio-economic results. It underscores the necessity for ethical AI practices among industry professionals and provides insights on how companies might surmount adoption hurdles. Policymakers are encouraged to develop inclusive and sustainable AI plans that promote prosperity while alleviating the concerns linked to technology progress.

The theoretical implications for this chapter advocates for a more cohesive methodology in showing AI adoption, taking into account both technological and socio-political elements that affect its dissemination. The report underscores the necessity for ongoing investment in digital infrastructure, AI education, and open regulatory frameworks to address disparities in AI adoption and guarantee equitable distribution of its advantages. Future exploration should investigate the changing role of AI in low-income economies, assess the long-term impacts of AI on labor markets, and evaluate the efficacy of current regulatory frameworks in fostering responsible AI implementation.

In conclusion, although AI and ML possess transformative potential for the digital economy, their effective integration necessitates a balanced strategy that considers technological breakthroughs, ethical implications, and the requirement for comprehensive, inclusive policy. Additional study is necessary to investigate the legislative frameworks that will facilitate sustainable AI-driven growth and guarantee equitable distribution of AI advantages across all areas and demographics.

References

- Ayinaddis, S. G. (2025). Artificial intelligence adoption dynamics and knowledge in SMEs and large firms: A systematic review and bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 10(3), 100682. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2025.100682>
- Babina, T., Fedyk, A., He, A., & Hodson, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence, firm growth, and product innovation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 151, 103745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2023.103745>
- Backend News. (2026). Gartner: Spending on AI governance platforms to reach \$492 million by 2026. *Backend News*.
- Bai, C., Lim, L. E. S., & Chua, S. Y. (2024). A literature review of digital economy measurement methods and indexes. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(7), 1430–1440. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i7/21740>
- Batool, A., Zowghi, D., & Bano, M. (2025). AI governance: A systematic literature review. *AI and Ethics*, 5, 3265–3279. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00653-w>
- BlueGrid. (2024). AI adoption across industries: State of play and outlook. *BlueGrid*.
- Carbon Brief. (2025). AI and data centres: Energy use and emissions. *Carbon Brief*.
- Cerutti, E., Garcia Pascual, A., Kido, Y., Li, L., Melina, G., Tavares, M. M., & Wingender, P. (2025). The global impact of AI: Mind the gap (IMF Working Paper No. 25/76). *International Monetary Fund*. <https://doi.org/10.5089/9798400226147.001>
- Cornell Chronicle. (2025). AI's climate impact could rival that of 5–10 million cars. *Cornell Chronicle*.
- Dimoso, R. L., & Utonga, D. (2024). A systematic review of digital technology adoption in SMEs: Implications for performance in developing countries. *International Journal of Development and Management Review*, 19(1), 58–71. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ijdmr.v19i1.4>
- Forbes. (2023). AI statistics & trends: Everything you need to know. *Forbes Advisor*.
- Haas News. (2025). Gender gap in generative AI usage persists. *Berkeley Haas*.
- Herman, B., & Oliver, E. (2022). Trade, policy, and development in the digital economy (USITC Working Paper No. 2022-010). *U.S. International Trade Commission*.
- Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB). (2024). The economic impact of the digital economy. *Interactive Advertising Bureau*.
- International Data Center Authority (IDCA). (2024). Global digital economy report 2024. *International Data Center Authority*.

- Kavak, M., & Rusu, L. (2025). Challenges and opportunities of artificial intelligence in digital transformation: A systematic literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*, 256, 369–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2025.02.132>
- Lythraetis, S., Singh, S. K., & El-Kassar, A.-N. (2022). The digital divide: A review and future research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 175, 121359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2021.121359>
- Maghsoudi, M., Mohammadi, N., & Bakhtiari, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence and sustainable development: Public concerns and governance in developed and developing nations. *Cleaner Environmental Systems*, 19, 100340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesys.2025.100340>
- McKinsey & Company. (2018). AI, automation, and the future of work. *McKinsey Global Institute*.
- McKinsey & Company. (2025). The state of AI in 2025: Agents, innovation, and transformation. *QuantumBlack AI by McKinsey*.
- Microsoft AI Economy Institute. (2026). Global AI adoption in 2025—A widening digital divide. *Microsoft Research*.
- Mind Foundry. (2026). AI regulations around the world: 72 countries propose 1,000 policies. *Mind Foundry*.
- Mokgata, M. A., Matjie, A., Nethavhani, A. N., & Matlakala, M. (2026). AI and the digital divide in education. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 8, Article 1759027. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2026.1759027>
- Murthy, K. V. B., Kalsie, A., & Shankar, R. (2021). Digital economy in a global perspective: Is there a digital divide? *Transnational Corporations Review*, 13(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19186444.2020.1871257>
- Netflix. (2016–2020). [Data on personalized recommendations]. *Netflix*.
- OECD. (2024). OECD digital economy outlook 2024 (Vol. 1). *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development*.
- OECD. (2025). AI adoption by small and medium-sized enterprises. *OECD Digital Economy Papers*. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9c48eae6-en>
- OECD. (2026). AI use by individuals surges across the OECD as adoption by firms continues to expand. *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development*.
- Oloyede, A. A., Faruk, N., Noma, N., Tebepah, E., & Nwaulune, A. K. (2023). Measuring the impact of the digital economy in developing countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heliyon*, 9(7), e17654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e17654>
- PC Gamer. (2025). ChatGPT uses less power than we thought. *PC Gamer*.
- Raihan, A. (2024). A review of the potential opportunities and challenges of the digital economy for sustainability. *Innovation and Green Development*, 3(4), 100174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.igd.2024.100174>

- Rockall, E., Tavares, M. M., & Pizzinelli, C. (2025). AI adoption and inequality (IMF Working Paper No. 25/68). *International Monetary Fund*.
- Stanford Institute for Human-Centered AI. (2025). The AI index report 2025. *Stanford University*.
- Thomson Reuters. (2026). AI governance gap between policy and practice. *Thomson Reuters Sustainability*.
- UNCTAD. (2024). Digital economy report 2024: Shaping an environmentally sustainable and inclusive digital future. *United Nations Conference on Trade and Development*.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO). (2022). Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence. *UNESCO*.
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2025). AI has an environmental problem: Here's what the world can do about it. *United Nations Environment Programme*.
- Vărzaru, A. A. (2025). The digital economy and Sustainable Development Goals: A predictive analysis in EU countries. *Systems*, 13(6), 398. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13060398>
- Wikipedia contributors. (2024, March). Machine learning. In *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Machine_learning